Environmental Protection Agency

inflitration/inflow in the sewer system. See § 35.2120.

- (5) An analysis of the potential open space and recreation opportunities associated with the project.
- (6) An adequate evaluation of the environmental impacts of alternatives under part 6 of this chapter.
- (7) An evaluation of the water supply implications of the project.
- (8) For the selected alternative, a concise description at an appropriate level of detail, of at least the following:
 - (i) Relevant design parameters;
- (ii) Estimated capital construction and operation and maintenance costs, (identifying the Federal, State and local shares), and a description of the manner in which local costs will be financed;
- (iii) Estimated cost of future expansion and long-term needs for reconstruction of facilities following their design life:
- (iv) Cost impacts on wastewater system users; and
- (v) Institutional and management arrangements necessary for successful implementation.
- (c) Submission and review of facilities plan. Each facilities plan must be submitted to the State for review. EPA recommends that potential grant applicants confer with State reviewers early in the facilities planning process. In addition, a potential grant applicant may request in writing from the State and EPA an early determination under part 6 of this chapter of the appropriateness of a categorical exclusion from NEPA requirements, the scope of the environmental information document or the early preparation of an environmental impact statement.

§ 35.2032 Innovative and alternative technologies.

- (a) Funding for innovative and alternative technologies. Projects or portions of projects using unit processes or techniques which the Regional Administrator determines to be innovative or alternative technology shall receive increased grants under §35.2152.
- (1) Only funds from the reserve in §35.2020(c) shall be used to increase these grants.
- (2) If the project is an alternative to conventional treatment works for a

- small community, funds from the reserve in §35.2020(b) may be used for the 75 percent portion, or any lower Federal share of the grant as determined under §35.2152.
- (b) Cost-effectiveness preference. The Regional Administrator may award grant assistance for a treatment works or portion of a treatment works using innovative or alternative technologies if the total present worth cost of the treatment works for which the grant is to be made does not exceed the total present worth cost of the most cost-effective alternative by more than 15 percent.
- (1) Privately-owned individual systems (§35.2034) are not eligible for this preference.
- (2) If the present worth costs of the innovative or alternative unit processes are 50 percent or less of the present worth cost of the treatment works, the cost-effectiveness preference applies only to the innovative or alternative components.
- (c) Modification or replacement of innovative and alternative projects. The Regional Administrator may award grant assistance to fund 100 percent of the allowable costs of the modification or replacement of any project funded with increased grant funding in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section if he determines that:
- (1) The innovative or alternative elements of the project have caused the project or significant elements of the complete waste treatment system of which the project is a part to fail to meet project performance standards;
- (2) The failure has significantly increased operation and maintenance expenditures for the project or the complete waste treatment system of which the project is a part; or requires significant additional capital expenditures for corrective action;
- (3) The failure has occurred prior to two years after initiation of operation of the project; and
- (4) The failure is not attributable to negligence on the part of any person.

§35.2034 Privately owned individual systems.

(a) An eligible applicant may apply for a grant to build privately owned treatment works serving one or more